GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1201-02
(Mizrai)

Papers Filed.

Miscellaneous Papers

Month_____

SUBJECT.

Succession of Sayad Murtaza Chakladar as Sajjadeor Manageer of the Bababoodan Dargah, Bababoodan Hills

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

6-8-87

LATER REFERENCES.

101 — 02

790 — 08

Govt 151-25-1-10





[illegible]

~~City~~ Bangalore

కళ్యాణి

Byorder

30/8/86
Secretary

అనాధీకరించినది 0-1-0
కాంతికి — 0-8-0
చుచూరి, సింగదు, } 2-1-8
కొల్లగ

2-10-8

చుట్టుపక్కల కేటాయించినది 0-2-0

2-10-8

ఇంకా చూడాల్సిన 7-3-4

25/8/86

CKM

16/8/06



926

16/8/06

Ref. Mortgage

17-8-06

15-8-906

16/8/06

17/8/06

20/8/06

No. 24889/9.XI.06

Enclosed one application with three-five Postage labels





Refer

8/9/06

12/9/06

5-9-06

ಪೇಯೀ ಇರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದ ಕೂರ್ಪ.

[ಇಲ್ಲಿ ರವಿಸುರ ಪೇಕಾವ ಸಂಗತಿ ಪೇಯಿಗೆ ಬರಿಯಬಹುದು ತಂಮ ವಿಳಾಸವೂ ಬರೆಯುವದು ಒಳ್ಳೇದು.]



[ಕೂರ್ಪ]. ಈ ಮಣಿ ಅರ್ಡರು ಹಣ ಪಡಿ
ಯುವ ಮುಂಚೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೂ, ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆನೆ ಕೇಳೀ ದಿ
ಗೂ ಸೈ್ವಮಾಡಿ, ಕೂಪನನ್ನು ಯಿರಿಸಕೊಂ
ಡು ನಮೂನೆಯೆನ್ನು ಬಾಪಸ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.
ರಿಮಿಟರ್ರು ನಮೂದಿಸ ವ ಹಣ ಬೇಯಿಂ ಡೆ
ಸರೂ ಅಥವಾ ವಿಳಾಸದಲ್ಲಿ ತಪ್ಪು ಯಾ ನ್ಯೂ
ನತೆ ಇದ್ರೆ ಕಾರಣ ಹಕ್ಕುದಾರರಲ್ಲದವರಿಗೆ ಹಣ
ಸಂದಲ್ಲಿ ಪೋಸ್ಟಾಫೀಸ್ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರರಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.
ಬೇಯೀ ತಿಕ್ಕದೇ ಹೋದಲ್ಲಿ ರಿಮಿಟರ್ರಿಗೆ ಮ
ಣಿ ಆರ್ಡರ ಪೊಬಲಗು ಬಾಪಸ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೆ. ಬೇ
ಯಿಯಿಂದ ಯಾವ ವಿಧವಾದ ರುಜುನು, ಅ
ಥವಾ ಪ್ರಯೋಜನವನ್ನು, ಜವಾನ ಅಂಗೀ
ಕರಿಸತಕ್ಕವನಲ್ಲ.

1904-05

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 21st February 1905.

No. 3052-5

Passing orders in the matter of the restoration of the Inam village of Sajjankere in the Chitaldrug Taluk, endowed to the Ahmed Shah Gumbuz at Chitaldrug.

BP—1-3-05—250

Read the following :—

(i) Government Proceedings No. 899—R. F. 271-92, dated 20th August 1901, directing that the Inam village of Sajjankere in the Chitaldrug Taluk granted to the Ahmed Shah Gumbuz at Chitaldrug, be attached and taken under the management of Government.

(ii) Memorial dated 23rd November 1901, from Sada Bahar Shah and others, praying that the Inam village may be released from attachment and restored to their possession.

(iii) Government Proceedings No. 960-1—Muz. F. 16-95, dated 31st December 1901, directing that the Ahmed Shah Gumbuz at Chitaldrug and the Inam village attached thereto be taken over under Government management in the Muzrai Department.

(iv) Memorial dated 29th August 1904, from Syed Ali Sab and others, praying for the restoration of the Inam village to their possession.

(v) The following letter No. 496, dated 21st December 1902, from the Deputy Commissioner of the Chitaldrug District :—

"With reference to your docket No. 2770—R. F. 271-92, dated 21st December 1901, calling for report on the petition of Sada Bahar Shah and seven others, Inamdars of Sajjankere and Rangapura in the Chitaldrug Taluk, praying that their villages may be released from attachment and restored to their possession, I have the honor to state that the Sajjankere village with its hamlet of Rangapura was originally granted as Inam to the Darga and Gumbuz of Ahmed Shah at Chitaldrug for the maintenance of lights and fatya etc., at the Gumbuz; but the petitioners claim the villages as their personal Inam, granted to their forefathers for offering prayers in the Darga for the prosperity of the sovereign. This claim is not sustainable as the Inam title-deed clearly shows that the Inam was granted to the managers, for the time being, of the Gumbuz for its maintenance.

2. The circumstances which necessitated the Inam village being placed under Government management have been fully explained in this office letter No. 943, dated 6th May 1901. The payment of instalments in discharge of the loan granted under the Land Improvement Regulation to Gulbarsha for the restoration of a tank in the village, had been irregular and unpunctual from the commencement, and it was only after the village was attached and taken under the management of Government under the authority of Proceedings No. 899—R. F. 271-92, dated 20th August 1901, that the petitioners came forward and offered to pay up the interest amount due from them on overdue instalments as well as the amount of instalment due in June 1901. In October 1901, a sum of Rs. 331-8-10 was produced by them on account of the instalment for June 1901 and the interest on arrears of previous instalments, and it has been duly credited to the Treasury.

3. The performance of religious service at the Gumbuz and the maintenance of lights and the feeding of fakirs in the Gumbuz have been very unsatisfactory. Ashrufsha, one of the petitioners, has, in a statement made by him on 2nd April 1900 before the Amildar of Chitaldrug, admitted that the performance of "Urus" had been discontinued for the past three years after the death of his brother.

4. Concerning the general character and conduct of the petitioners towards the raiyats of the village, I beg to state that complaints, both oral and written, are reported to have been made to the Amildar by the raiyats as to the high-handedness of some of the petitioners, especially of one Abdul Razak, in unreasonably ejecting the raiyats from their lands, failing to give receipts for the money received from them and causing annoyance to them in many respects.

5. For the above reasons and owing to the persistent failure of the managers of the institution to keep regular accounts of the income and expenditure of the institution, the Inam village was taken under Government management under the sanction conveyed in Proceedings No 899 —R. F. 271-92, dated 20th August 1901. The Jamabandi of the village for the year 1901-02 was conducted by the Amildar and the kandayam due by the raiyats was recovered placed in deposit in the Taluk Treasury. The receipts amounted to Rs. 821-10-0 and the ture to Rs. 329-10-8 as detailed below :—

Rs.	a.	p.	
289	0	8	Instalment of loan due for 1901-02 under the Land Improvement Act.
40	10	0	Local cess on the Inam village for 1901-02.
329	10	8	

is a sum of Rs. 491-15-4 at present held in deposit in the Taluk Treasury to the the Gumbuz.

total Jamabandi of the Inam village for the year 1901-02 stood at Rs. 980-3-3. assessed at Rs. 118-7-6 are held by the petitioners in their own name. This not yet been recovered from them.

7 The present surviving representatives of the original manager or founder of the institution, Ahmed Shah, are eight in number Their names are noted in the margin, and the following genealogical tree illustrates their descent from Ahmed Shah

Ashroff Shah
Sadabahar Shah
Ahmed Shah
Ismail Shah
Syed Ali Shah
Syed Ali Shah
Abdul Razak and two brothers
Aziz Shah.



8. When the Inam village was under the management of the petitioners, the receipts were ared by them in the following proportions —

Ashroff Shah 1/10	Sadabaharshah 1/6	Ahmed Shah 1/10
Ismail Shah 1/6	Syed Ali Shah 1/6	Syed Ali Shah 1/10
Abdul Razak and two brothers 1/10		Aziz Shah 1/10

9. One of the petitioners, Ashroff Shah, was recognized as the general manager while mail Shah, Syed Ali and Abdul Razak lived in the Inam village and attended to cultivation, c., there. There was no tasdik patti and no fixed allotment of funds for "Urus" feeding of kirs and other charities for which the Inam is intended

10. I beg to submit the following proposals for the future management of the Darga and umbuz .—

(1) The village to be restored to the petitioners who should select a competent man from nong themselves to be the manager, subject to the Deputy Commissioner's approval

(2) The manager to look to the affairs of the institution and the village with the assistance f the other shareholders.

(3) Taking the revenue of the village at Rs 950, a sum of Rs 671-10-8 should be deosited in the Taluk Treasury to meet the charges noted below

	Rs.	a	p.
(i) Yearly instalments towards the principal and interest in repayment of loan granted under the Land Improvement Act	289	0	8
(ii) Local cess payable on the Inam village	40	10	0
(iii) Shanbhog's potigi	25	0	0
(iv) Patel's potigi	17	0	0
Total ..	371	10	8
(1) For Urus	100	0	0
(2) Dipotsava	20	0	0
(3) For feeding of fakirs at Rs 10 per mensem ...	120	0	0
(4) For repairs of Darga .. .	30	0	0
(5) For daily chirakh at Rs. 2½ per mensem .	30	0	0
Total	300	0	0
Grand Total ...	671	10	8

(4) At the beginning of each month, the manager to draw from the Taluk Treasury the money required for the feeding of the fakirs and for daily "chirakh" at the rate of Rs. 12-8-0 per mensem, and keep accounts of expenditure.

(5) For the "Urus" and the Depotsava, the allotted amount to be drawn by the manager on those special occasions and accounted for by him.

(6) The amount allotted for repairs would be accumulated in the Treasury and drawn upon whenever required.

(7) The balance of Rs. 279-0-0 to be shared by the shareholders named in para 8 above in the proportions therein mentioned in consideration of one of them by turns, either in person or by agent, doing the duties of Mouazin and Paish Imam and arranging for the building being kept clean.

(8) The accounts of expenditure should be open to inspection by Government Officers.

11. The loan advanced by Government will be fully discharged in the year 1909, after which the sum of Rs. 289-0-8 will also be available for improving the usefulness of the institution and the remuneration of the shareholders.

12. The Inam village has not yet been surveyed in detail. Its revenue would be improved if the Survey Settlement were introduced into it."

No. 3052-5, DATED BANGALORE, 21ST FEBRUARY 1905.

ORDER THEREON.—The village of Sajjankere in the Chitaldrug Taluk is a Devadaya Inam granted for the support of the "Deepam" and "Fatya," etc., in the Ahmed Shah Gumbuz at Chitaldrug. Under the authority of Government Proceedings No. 13344—R. 1603, dated 28th January 1893, a loan of Rs. 3,000, repayable in fifteen annual instalments commencing from June 1895 was advanced under the Land Improvement Regulation, IV of 1890, to the Manager Gulbahar Shah and others, towards the restoration of a breached tank for the benefit of the lands in the Inam village. Latterly, the managers having rendered themselves very unpopular with the raiyats of the village by their oppressive conduct towards them, and having also refused to pay the interest on the overdue instalments, the Government in their orders No. R. 899—R. F. 271-92, dated 20th August 1901, directed the village to be attached and taken under Government management. Further, the managers having failed to satisfactorily perform the services, etc., in the institution and owing to their default to keep regular accounts after being clearly warned, the Government in the Muzrai Department in their Proceedings of the 31st December 1901 read above, directed that the institution and its endowment be taken over under their management. Sadar Bahar Shah and seven others have appealed to Government representing that the religious services are being regularly performed and that neither any instalment nor any interest is now in arrears. They pray that the Inam village may be released from attachment and restored to their possession. With reference to this, the Deputy Commissioner has submitted a detailed report in his letter of the 21st December 1902 read above.

2. The Government observe that, while the Deputy Commissioner reports that the managers of the Gumbuz were irregular in paying the instalments due to Government on account of the loan, that the services in the Gumbuz were not properly performed and that the village was mismanaged, and while he recommends a scale of payments to be made in future, it is not understood how he in the same breath recommends the restoration of the village to the managers of the Gumbuz. Owing to their irregularities the Inam village was taken over under Government management and if it is again restored, the Government see no guarantee that the affairs will not again fall into disorder as before. The Government therefore consider that it is not desirable to restore the Inam village to the memorialists, at least until the Government loan is fully liquidated.

3. As recommended by the Deputy Commissioner in his letter read above, the Government are pleased to sanction the scale of payments as noted in the margin. As suggested by the Deputy Commissioner, the money required for the feeding of fakirs and for daily chirakh aggregating Rs. 12-8-0 per mensem may be drawn from the Taluk Treasury by the manager, as also the amounts sanctioned for the Urus and Dipotsava whenever they are required, and properly accounted for by him. The appointment of a manager from among the hissedars is left to the Deputy Commissioner.

	Rs.	a.	p.
(1) Yearly instalments towards the principal and interest in repayment of the loan granted ...	289	0	8
(2) Local cess payable on the Inam village ...	40	10	0
(3) Shanbhog's potigi ...	25	0	0
(4) Patel's potigi ...	17	0	0
(5) For Urus ...	100	0	0
(6) For Dipotsava ...	20	0	0
(7) For feeding of fakirs at Rs. 10 per mensem ...	120	0	0
(8) For repairs of the Darga ...	30	0	0
(9) For daily chirakh at Rs. 2-8 0 per mensem ...	30	0	0
Total ...	671	10	8

4. Taking the average annual income of the Inam village to be Rs. 950, a sum of Rs. 279 remains over, after the payments sanctioned above are made. The Deputy Commissioner proposes that the whole of this balance should be distributed among the shareholders connected with the Gumbuz in the manner suggested in para 10 (7) of his letter cited above. The proposal is sanctioned.

5. The village being under the management of Government, the Deputy Commissioner in the Muzrai Department is requested to see that the instalments due to Government on account of the loan are duly paid.

6. The Government are further pleased to sanction the introduction of Survey and Settlement into the Inam village. The Survey and Settlement Commissioner will take needful steps in the matter in communication with the Deputy Commissioner.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Secy., Muzrai Dept.

To--The Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District.
The Secretary to Government, General and Revenue Department.
The Survey and Settlement Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Exd.—G.S.

Rupees (in words)

being amount of money order payable to (name [illegible]

M. O. Clerk

Rs. 1818 Commission Rs. [illegible]



[ಕೂರ್ಗ್]. ಈ ಮನೆ ಒಡ್ಡಲು ಮಣ ರವಿಯಿಪ ಮುಂಜೆ ಅಪೆಕ್ಷೊ. ಭಿಪ್ಪಿಗೆಯೇ ರಸ್ತೆಯ ಗಳು ಸ್ಥಳವಾವಿ, ಕೂಲವನ್ನು ಯಿರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ನಮೂನೆಯೆಂನ್ನು ಪಾವಲಿ ಕೊಂಪೇಕು. ರಿಮಿಟರು) ನಮೂದಿಸುವ ಮಣ ಪೇಯೀ ವನೆಯೂ ಅಧೆವಾ ನಿಳಾನೆದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲ್ಸ ನೆಡಾ ನ್ಸ್ಟ್ರ ನಲೆ ಇದ್ದ ಕಾರಣ ಪತ್ತೆಮಾರ್ಪಡೆಯಾಗಿ ರಣ ನಂದಲ್ಲಿ ಫೋಟೊಗ್ರಫಿನ ಪವಾಡ್ಡಾರವಾಗುವಲ್ಲ. ಪೇಯೀ ಚಿತ್ತಪೇ ಹೋಪಲ್ಲಿ ರಿಮಿಟರಿಗೆ ಬಣ ಆರ್ಡರ ಜೊಬಲಗೆ ಪಾವಲಿ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೆ. ಬೇಯಿಯುಂದ ಯಾವ ನಿನೆಗಾವ ರುಸಮಂ ಅಧೆವಾ ಪ್ರಯೋಜನವನ್ನು, ಪವಾನರು ಅಲಗೀ ಕರಿಸಕೂಡದು.

References put up

BBR.
17.8.06

Money Sent by M. O. on the 29th
August 1906 Vide receipt No. 1615
of the Post office (Res 4—3—4)

BBR

Letter no 1019 dated
10th Dec 1902 from
Lyonel Bandahar Mukerjee
Thro Khandre, applying
for a copy.

The petn applies for
a copy of the order re
Bachambandan Durga
Succession — The order,
which is printed, contains
the Dy Comrs letter in
extenso — a copy of
I think this letter
should not be given
under the rules — A copy
of the order portion only
may, I beg to submit, be
granted

Submitted
for



10 - 12 - 02

Grant copy Rs

10/12/02

To
The Deputy Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore General
Revenue Department.

Sir,

Writ Case No. 12-3. Copy Granted
12.12.02.

Syed Mustafa Basha } Petitioner
Khadri

&

Syed Hussain Mohideen }
Shah Khadri & Ors } Co Petitioners

The Petitioners above named are
that they might be furnished with
an authenticated Copy of the order
passed on in Writ Petition
Bubabudanjan Hattikal & Co. &
to claim of ours & as hereunder ...

Bangalore }
Dec 10/02 }

سید مصطفیٰ باشاہ خادری

کافی پیشن سید مصطفیٰ باشاہ خادری

12 12

డిప్యూటీ సెక్రటరీ లేదా అంతకంటే పైస్థాయి

బాంబు డిటెక్షన్ స్క్వాడ్ లేదా జాగిలాలు, పరిగణన

ఇనాముల్ని విడుదల్లు శ్రీ ముఖ్ ముఖాంతరం ఇతరులు

ప్రచురించబడ్డ సంవత్సరం ఫాల్గుణ శుద్ధ కేసరియం

దర్శన్ భుగ్తా నోన్ సేవి ప్రవేశ గణిత శాస్త్ర దరగాం

ఇనాముల్ని యున్న ఇంటిగ్రేటెడ్ అమెల్ శరన్ బాణం

అప్పణకోండి సిరుర వుంటారులపి వుంటారు భాంషే

యుల్లనసునదో ఆధ్యవనిరూపదతాత్పర్యం బెంబేలుగా

ఇరుతే ఆదుదలింద మరంపి పారసి నిరూపగళన్న

నకలోమూడి ఇదరిండనే ఇష్టిపూలుతో ది. ఇపోళ డారుల్ల

పివరసి రుచుదళతాత్పర్యంద్వి ఆసలోసనదో రింగిగో

ఇరువకన్నడసనదినతాత్పర్యంద్వాగిరుతే

మేలోవివరిసువ వుంటారులపి మూంటారు భాంషే

యుల్లఖిలిపిరువ" అందరో పారసి, మరాఠి, కన్నడ

ఇపుగళ్ళు బరిదిరుతే వుంటాయిరూపుపూర్తిబింబరఆ

భ్రష్టాయవుదేమత్తొందు బెళగరూపంతిరుతే.

లూమేరిబరియుతపే నాంప్రసాంతుపు

పూర్తి దాడిగా అమలరచనంగిలుతే. భూతలశక్తిలు

ఆసలోసనసనన్న ఇదళింద రేఖిపూలుతో ది బాబూరావు

6.11.82

مهراج راجیشنراج وزیر دولت خلد الله ملکه سلطنته

منشور فیض لزوم بنام عاملان و سررشته داران حال و استقبال و مقدمان و رعان تعلقه
شکرپتن کارداری مهشور شرف صدور یابد چون ضع موضع پنه نزی یعنی
همون و نه پنج آنه کنته رای نقد چهل و سه روپیه جمله پانصد بیست و شش بلو
نه فلم پنج آنه سالیانه از قدیم الایام بطریق انعام در وجه اخراجات یهان بابا بودین صاحب قدیم
مقرر و مستمر است اکنون بسبب انکه عامل آن در خواست سند بدو سپرده در
میرات قادر بحضور فیض معمور نموده و درخواست مذکور داشت بنابران
نظر بمقتضای جاری مواد و مبلغ مذکور بر طبق قدیم بجا و مرعی فرموده شد که بابه
حسب الامر صدر بصرف اخراجات درگاه مذبور واگذارند و اجاری دارند تا اهل انمکا
سال بسال صرف اخراجات درگاه نموده کی روز و شب بدعا عمر و دولت ابد
مشغول باشد و بر اسند مجدد نظر بند و نقل گیرند اصل واپس بدهند درین باب تاکیدا
دانسته حسب المسطور بعمل آرند مرقوم سدهاد ده بهالکن ماسش چوپیتی سیمچهر مطابق
سنه ۱۲ ماه فیبروری سنه ۱۸۱۳ ع

"خط سید مخدوم الی منشی"

ಮೂಲ ಪ್ರತಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವಂತೆ

ಈ ಬಳಿ "ಕೈ ಪಟ್ಟಿ" ಎಂದು ಇರುವಂತೆ

"ಸಂಗಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ" ಸಂಗಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ

متابعه کنندگی صحیح است اصل مطابق نقل
۶. ۱۱. ۵۲

ಸು ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ



ಎಂಬುದಾಗಿ ಅಸಲ್ದಲ್ಲಿ
ಇರುತ್ತೆ.

ಹೆಂಗು
ಬರೆಸಿದ್ದು
6.11.02

ಈ ಬಳಿ "ಕ್ರಿ ಕ್ರಿ ಪ್ಲೆ" ಎಂದು ಇರುತ್ತೆ.

"ಅಸಲು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ"

MUZRAI

1901

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

Om.

The accompanying telegrams dy 19th and 26 March 1902 from Khader Badsha Khadri of Chickmagalur which are ~~not~~ unintelligible are forwarded to the Dy Commr, Kadur Dt for disposal. The two prepaid receipts are also enclosed for being returned to the parties

By order

Dewans Asst

Dy Secy

MGCPB—11-1-1901—1500



To Mary Orr
Please note
down the numbers
of the file regd
KAH
7/4/02

Surely with our
Growing Records, it shd
write a stylish effort
be possible to trace
the files. These are
wanted. Some one
shd personally
go and see find
out this!
7-7-02.

To Records. see above note & kindly
Please the note & kindly
put up the references
M

1892

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

MUZARAYI.

PROCEEDINGS.

Dated 14th December 1892.

No. 233-42
Muz. I 102 92.

Laying down rules for the succession of Gurus to Matts enjoying Government grants in land or money or honors

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—26-12-92—200

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, (Muzarayi),—dated 14th December 1892.

No. 233-42—Muz. F. 102-92, dated Bangalore, 14th December 1892.

Order.—It has been brought to notice that the established custom of obtaining His Highness the Maharaja's previous consent to nomination of successors to religious Matts, within the State, has not been followed in recent years in a few individual instances.

2. This custom which is an ancient one was followed even during the British administration of the State by reporting every succession to a Matt for the orders of the Chief Commissioner.

3. The omission to report in a few cases has led to the succession to the Guruship of persons, who from their antecedents or lack of learning and qualification do not always command the esteem and regard of their disciples.

4. The Government of His Highness the Maharaja are of opinion that it will advance the public good to enforce due observance of the custom as regards those Matts which enjoy Government grants.

5. It is therefore ordered that the following Rules on the subject will be communicated to all the Matts in the State:—

RULES.

I. Every succession to a Matt enjoying Government grants in land or money or honors granted by the sovereign, should receive the approval of His Highness the Maharaja. Such approval should ordinarily be obtained by previous application to His Highness in the Muzarayi Department.

II. To provide as far as possible against the contingency of a Guru dying suddenly he may as an act of ordinary prudence fix upon one or more eligible persons for an eventual selection by himself as his successor and obtain His Highness' opinion as to the eligibility of such persons. The Guru may, then in due time, select one of them to succeed him.

III. Should the Guru fail to obtain His Highness' approval to an eligible candidate or candidates and should he on the sudden approach of death or fear of death select a successor and give the *A'sramam* to him, the recognition of his succession will, for the purpose of enabling him to enjoy all State grants in land or money, rest with His Highness, who, in exercising his discretion in the matter, will be guided by the opinion of the community and the personal qualification of the successor.

IV. Should a Guru die without giving *A'sramam* to a successor him surviving, then a proper successor shall be selected by the community interested, with the consent of His Highness.

V. Non-recognition of a successor by His Highness will involve the resumption of all State grants in land or money and the customary *Mariathés* and *Birùthus* granted or enjoyed under authority from the sovereign.

A. SREENIVASACHARLU,
Secretary.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Palace Controller, Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

Exd.—D.G.R.

1902. 03

FROM B. Krishnaiengar Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

To L. Ananta Sama Rao Esq/B.A. Dee
Deputy Secretary to Govern-
ment, Muzrai Department



31/10/02

Dated 27th October 02

Despatched 29/

Received

General No. 530
No.

Enclosure No. /

Inviting a kind reference to this office letter No 1450 15.3.02 and requesting early orders in the matter

Submitted

RS
31/10/02

Reply has not yet been received from Mr D. Venkataramanayya

RS
5/11/02

Office of the Deputy Commr.
Kadur District.

Dated, Chikkamagalur 27th October 02

From.

B. G. Krishnaiengar Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To. L. Ananta Sami Rao Esq/BA.
Deputy Secretary to Government
Muzrai Department.

Sir.

In forwarding herewith the petition of Syaid Murtuja Shah Khadri, the proposed Sajjade elect of the Darga on the Baba Budan hills in the Chikmagalur Taluk, I have the honor to request the favor of early orders being passed in the matter referred to in this office letter No 1450 dated 15/27. 3. 02 as the petitioner represents that the affairs of the Darga are at present being mismanaged by other hakdars and Ryots concerned

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

HL.

MUZRAI



MUZRAI

19 —19

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To D Venkataramaiyya Esq
Advocate
Bangalore

Dated 29th October 1902.

Despatched 29th

Received

General No. 826

Muzrai F. No. 33-01

Enclosure No.

Nomination of Syed Murtuza Sha Khadri to the management of Guru Dattatriya Bababudan Swamis Dargah in the room of the late Sajjada Khan Bahadur Ghouse Sha Khadri

---

With reference to the above, Mr. D. Venkataramaiyya is informed that if he has, as stated by him

MGCPB—2,000—7-6-02

in person to the Dy Secretary
some days back, any
points to urge in the
above case on behalf
of the alleged missedars,
he may send up a written
together with power of attorney
representation, within
one week after the
receipt of this communication.
~~He is further informed~~
that if the representation
is not made before that
period, the Govt will
pass their order in the
matter without waiting
~~any~~ longer for the same.

By order

Dewan's order

27/10/02

Dy Secretary
Revs dept

Received the [illegible]
[illegible] 3 sheets
of papers from Dalip Singh Khan
as having been sent
by him through Phab
Sajjada of Barbaria
as samadhi, from
Palam before the

Dr Kautsky

Ghulam Rasul
Clerk Foreign Dept
30 Oct 1922

Engr. Rec.

After issue of this notice, wait for one week after as directed by the Secretary & then send up the file for the order -



was delivered
Kenkataramaiya on
It is now past one week
been received. Meanwhile
of the rec. in writing be
by Commr. Kadur Dt. in his
by my Dist. for repeat
orders

W. 8.XI.02

8/11/02

References (if any)

25-, 2

3-10-02

Two Rupee Stamp affd

Transferred to the Muzrai Department.

4/10/02

4/10/02

Urgent

Submitted

The papers connected with this nomination to be laid on the 9th inst table

The Dewan

22/10/02

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 19 03
REG No. 667
MUZRAI/ETABLISHMENT

D.O.

Name of Petitioner Syed Salimuddin Hussain Shakadri

Village agent Baba Budan Darga

Taluk Chikmagalur

District Kadur

Date of Arzi 17.9.02

Date of Receipt 19.9.02

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one (copy)

ABSTRACT

Submits a copy of Hukum dated 12.7.02 issued to him by the Amildar, Chikmagalur Taluk which is as follows "on your petition dated complaining that Murtuza Shakhadri is managing Darga affairs according his will and pleasure, a reference was made to the Asker (District) & Hukum No 58 has been received 9.7.02 in reply to the effect that you have not taken the approval of

he should be in force till
he could do nothing independently
and that every thing should
be managed & done for by
means of the agent pending
Govt Sanction to the nomination."

The petr, who is the agent
Submits that the said Mrityja
Shakhadri is making radical
changes in the Darga according
to his pleasure, that the
Revenue and income from
the Durga upperyo to wa s
collected by the said Mrityja
Shakhadri by force, without
the knowledge of the petr, that
while the petr was absent,
patrikas have been sent to
the Sub Dargas by the without
the knowledge of the petr
informing them that the
Pattabhi Shek cam ceremony
the Darga would be celebrated
that money is being spent
may by the Mrityja Shakhadri
without the consent of the petr,
and that everything so being
is managed

Pray that the said Mrityja
Shadri be not be appointed
the place here more

P.T.O.

Reg 667.

6 ನೇ ತ. 2 ಟಿಕೆಟ್ 3 page ಇದೆ.

6 ನೇ ತ. 1005 $\frac{3}{11}$ page.





By first registered post 19.9.92

To
The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore

ಇವರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಮೈಸೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಹಿನಕಲ್ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ವಾಸಿ

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಮೈಸೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಹಿನಕಲ್ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 6 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು 3 ಎಕರೆ 20 ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಚೀಟಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ವಿನಂತಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಹಿನಕಲ್ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 6 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು 3 ಎಕರೆ 20 ಗುಂಟೆ ಜಮೀನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಪಡ್ ಖರಾಬು ಜಮೀನಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 30 ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ನಾನು ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಕುಟುಂಬದವರು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 10 ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಮಾವಿನ ಮರಗಳನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 100 ಮಾವಿನ ಮರಗಳಿರುತ್ತವೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 10 ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಮಾವಿನ ಮರಗಳನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 100 ಮಾವಿನ ಮರಗಳಿರುತ್ತವೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 10 ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದ ಮಾವಿನ ಮರಗಳನ್ನು ಬೆಳೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು 100 ಮಾವಿನ ಮರಗಳಿರುತ್ತವೆ.

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ನನಗೆ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಚೀಟಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು

35

Respected Sir

I have come to see you from Chickamagalur I request the favour of you kindly letting me know when I may call

Yours



This is the Specimen Chal-
lan of the Barbara Bandhan Durga
29/10/02

Reference

1903

No. 1224

Name of Petitioner Syed Khadsher mohadeen Shaha

Village Bangalore

Taluk

District

Date of Arzi 21-11-03

Date of Receipt 23-11-03

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure two eight annas bank Stamps

ABSTRACT

In enclosing the above Stamps prays for Supply of Copies of Deeds submitted by late Syed Ghouse Sher Khadri Khan Bahadur on 11/8/1887 and of order passed thereon

12/03

As it is a Mysore

please transfer this

to the Mysore

Transfer

Mysore

Mysore Government.

To the Mysore

Sd

30/11/03

Enquire with the Peshkar if and why he wants the copies

24/12/03

Issued for

MGCPB—5,000—10-11-02

197

20-11-03

1226

Two eight annas stamps affixed.

Four annas stamps affixed.

14-11-1903

Ordered:

May the Copy applied for be granted?

There is only one petition in this file The other two are not ceable

3-10-06.

Copy of the petition may be granted -

Do not forget the petition of 1388. It must be replied to

6/10/06

No. 1944 Dt/7/1/04

Mur: F 33-01

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] By order

Draft

[illegible] 6/1/04

Dy Secretary to Govt
Mwarai Dehar.

ks
6/1/04



P.T.O.

8½ annas worth Postal stamps ½ are required to be affixed.

submitted

Submitted for orders

3/5/05

3 copies of the document applied for may be issued.

1/5/05

3/5/05

ಅಕ್ಷರಾಭ್ಯಾಸದಿಂದ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿ ಉನ್ನತ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣದವರೆಗೆ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ
ನೀಡಲು ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳು ಅಸ್ತಿತ್ವದಲ್ಲಿವೆ. ಆದರೆ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ
ಪಡೆಯುವವರು ಕಡಿಮೆ. ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಆಸಕ್ತಿ ಇರುವವರು
ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಪಡೆಯಲು ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆ ಎದುರಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ಉದಾ- ಬಡತನ ಕುಟುಂಬದ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ
ಪಡೆಯಲು ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ಸಮಸ್ಯೆ ಎದುರಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಪುಟ-16.

Despatched
1/11/05

31st October 1905

Dear Mr. Changarah Chetty

The petition noted below although addressed to [illegible] in the Kharaja is pending in your office from August 1903

Kindly see this Office Last Reminder
No 1068 dated 26th August 1905

I am directed to request you to kindly give your personal attention to it and send a reply at your earliest convenience as the matter remains unexpressed for [illegible]

Yours [illegible] 31/10/05

Dft

~~8572-1402~~ 44-669 dated 24th July 1903 from Legal Journal
& ~~566 Dag 7th August 1903~~ Reminder and others re the petition of the Bababoodan Dargah in the Chikmagalur Taluk

Mr Changarah Chetty Esq Bar.

Ks 31/10/05

Hy Esq Commissioner
Kadur Dist

Very Urgent. Revenue.

From 190

L. Anantadasa Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to Government
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

Dated 26
Despatched August-6-1903
Received

General No. 1068
No. 33-1901.
Enclosure No.

This Office No. 566 dated
Muz. F. 33-1901

29th August 1903 and the subsequent reminders as marginally noted calling for the submission of a report together with the entire records relating to the affairs of the Bababudan Darga in the Chikkamagalur Taluk.

No. 4022 of 28-6-04.
263 " 15-7-04.
611 " 5-8-04
2931 " 11-2-05
4686 " 17-6-05

Has the honour to observe ~~with regret~~ that though ~~two~~ ~~reference is of two years old~~ ~~it is still pending with~~ is still pending with the Dy Commr

reminders issued on the

2. The Deputy Commissioner is ~~therefore~~ requested to arrange for ~~expedite~~ the submission of the same within a fortnight ~~from date hereof together with his explanation for the delay.~~

By Order,

Dft

22/8/05

Secretary to Govt,
Municipal Department

20/8/05

MUZRAI

19 —19

From The Secretary

To

The Deputy Com.

Dated

Despatched 25th January 19

Received

General No. 3246

Muzrai F. No. 33 (90)

Enclosure

Submitted:

With ref to his letter
Docket no 3290 dated 19
April (90) stating that
certain samadhis & docu-
ments in connection with
the Bababudan Dargah
were said to have been
presented by Syd Maqdum
Hussain the Khadim in
years 1893 and 1894 were
not traceable in this
has the honour to state
that same have been
found Regarding the

Contain samadhis and
documents in connection
with Bababudan Dargah,
which were said to have
been presented by Syd
Maqdum Hussain the
Khadim are forthcoming
in the papers which were
since found in the Records
of former Mudhol Agency
will be forwarded to the
Com. Kadur District
on reference made to —

Subordinate

P. M.
11/25/05

2nd. The Dy Comr
is requested to move
(vide his letter no

From

The Muzrai Secretary to the
~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.
Govt

To

The Dy. Comm^r
Kadur Dist^t

Dated 11-2-1905

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No 2937
M/No. 37-1904.

This Office No. 566 dated
M: 7: 33-04

29th August 03 calling for the submission of the entire record regarding the affairs of the Bababudan Darga in the Chickmagalur Taluk,

022 dated 28th June 1904;
dated 15th July 1904;
dated 5th August 1904;

and subsequent reminders marginally noted ×

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply

By Order"

11/2/05

Muzrai-Secretary.

10:1

Reminder

189 .

From

THE ~~MUZRAI~~ SECRETARY TO THE
Govt
~~DUWAN~~ OF MYSORE.
Muzrai Deptt

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

Dated

Despatched 5th August 1904

Received

General No. 611
Muzrai No.
Enclosure No.

566
This Office No. ~~269~~ dated
Muz: 7: No. 33-01
29th August '03
~~15th July 1904~~ calling for the
Submission of the entire
Records regarding the Lapsing of the
~~Records in connection with the~~
~~Inam lands endowed~~ to the
Balabudan Durga, in the
Chickmagalur Taluk.

---

and subsequent reminders
~~and subsequent reminder marginally noted.~~
marginally noted

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply.

By Order,

Dfl- 2/8/04
Muzrai Secretary

Rs
2/8/04

22nd cent 28th
1904

15th July '04

Draft for approval.

Nuzrat

Endorsement framed to Syed Gayasuddin Sha Khadri of the Bababudan Hills, Chickmagalur Taluk, through Advocate Mr D. Venkataramaiah, Bangalore, with reference to his memorial, Regd no 660, dated 10th August 1908, praying that he may be recognised either as Guru of the Guru Dattatreya Bababudan Darga on the Bababudan Hills or as Agent for the management of the Darga's endowments.

In reply, the memorialist is informed that the Govt do not see sufficient grounds to reconsider the orders already communicated to him in this Office Endorsement of

No 557, dated 6th August 1906,

regarding the internal management

of the Dargah. Syed Murtuza

Sha Khadri has been recognised

by Govt as Sajjada of the Dargah,

and no grounds exist for a

re-consideration ~~of that subject~~ thereof

By Order,

for Secretary

W 11/8/08

Copy is forwarded to the

Deputy Commissioner, Kadur Dt,

for information.

By Order,

for Secretary.

To [illegible]
636 15/7/02

Versailles Records

Please furnish the Correspondence
based on petition dated
11th August 1807, from
Syed Gous Shah Hadra.
Balandur — —

this with the
if available) to
Branch

RLB
15/7/02
[illegible] 15/7/02

Sailed to the Deccan

The telegrams are unintelligible.

27/3/02

Rec'd
27 3 02
3949

4372
Send by Comm
Indemnal
WWB
29.III.02

Rec'd 31 III 02

To

INDIAN TELEGRAPH

To	From
Station Bangalore C S	Station Chittoor via Kolar
Words / Day / Ho / Mi / c	OFFICIAL INSTRUCTIO
27 / 26 / 17 / 30	By Tele, wireless
To (Person)	From (Person)
Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore C	Khadar Basha Khadri

Deputy Commissioner. Petitioner Deputy Secretary to Govt Mysore against ruling Bailiff of Madras Presidency Mysore Native Court Sanctioned Appeal Court Hearing Summary Procedure Section Power and duty action -

Babakhadar

RECD FROM		L. N.		SENT TO		L. N.	
At	Hrs.	Min	By	At	Hrs.	Min	By

A. S. Clerk

Date

Post master.

RATES OF CHARGE FOR INLAND TELEGRAMS.

The address (including name of Sender, and name and residence of Addressee) is to be counted free.

	First 8 words.	Each additional word.	
Deferred Message	... 8 Annas	... 1 Anna.	A group of 5, or less figures is reckoned as one word.
Ordinary ,,	... 1 Rupee	... 2 Annas.	
Urgent ,,	... 2 Rupees	... 4 Annas.	

No charge for delivery within a distance of 5 Miles

N. 023

Local No. 7154
(of R. P. Telegram)

Government Telegraph Department.

Name and Date Stamp of Booking Office.

Pass for a Reply Paid Telegram.

The sum of Rupees (in words) . . . . . Annas . . . . . has been deposited for a reply to this telegram. The reply may be sent from *any* Telegraph Office at any time within ~~30 days~~ of this date, *provided this authority be attached to the telegram form.* This Pass may also be used for any Inland telegram sent by any person within the above period, whether it be a reply to the original telegram or not.

PARTICULARS OF THE TELEGRAM.

I. Office at which DEPOSIT was made

II. Date and Time of Telegram.

III. Name of Addressee

Should the cost of the reply exceed the sum deposited, the difference must be paid by the sender of the reply. Should the cost of the reply be less *than the amount deposited,* no refund will be given for the amount not availed of; but if the Pass be not used, the amount which has been deposited will be refunded upon application to the Check Office, Calcutta, if made within ~~30 days~~ of date of issue. The application must *be supported by this Pass,* without which no refund will be granted.

Name and Date Stamp of Office of Issue.

BANGALORE CITY POST 02 JAN

Form T 18.

Signature of Telegraph Officer in Charge.

Note.—In cases where this Pass is for a reply to a foreign telegram, see the Regulations printed on the back of this form.

Extract from Foreign Service Regulation LI.

This Pass entitles the addressee to send a foreign telegram within the limits of the amount prepaid to any destination whatever When the charge for the telegram franked by this Pass exceeds the value of the Pass, the difference must be paid in cash. But when the contrary case occurs, the difference is refunded to the depositor of the prepaid amount if he applies for it.

The Pass remains in force for six weeks only counting from its date, after which it is considered to have lapsed. When the addressee does not make use of the Pass the money deposited for the reply is refunded if the following procedure be adopted, namely, the addressee must, before the expiration of three months from the date of issue, return the Pass to the office which delivered it accompanied by a request for the amount to be refunded to the sender (*i.e.*, the depositor of the reply prepaid amount).

F.A.

Class. *(in full.)*

Number.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Receipt for an Inland Telegram.

To *(Office)*

Date Stamp of Post Office

Cost ... *Rs.* ... *As.*

Extra charges (Reply, Delivery, &c.) ... *Rs.* ... *As.*

Initials of Postmaster, &c.

Above particulars giving full cost to be filled up when the Telegram is paid for PARTLY or WHOLLY in Postage Stamps or Reply Passes

SPACE FOR UPPER HALVES OF TELEGRAPH STAMP.

N.B.—When Telegraph Stamps form only PART PAYMENT the entire stamps must be fixed lengthways BELOW the dotted line

Telegraph Stamps are accepted at all Offices Postage Stamps are only accepted at Post Offices and when telegrams are posted to a Telegraph Office for transmission.

For INLAND TELEGRAMS *only.*

Stamps cut, torn or in any way defaced cannot be accepted.

SPACE FOR LOWER HALVES OF TELEGRAPH STAMPS OR FOR ENTIRE POSTAGE STAMPS.

N.B.—Stamps may be affixed along this line up to the left of the form and continued on the corresponding space on the back, if necessary

Code Class.	*No.*	*Words.*	*Day.*	*H.*	*M.*	*Official Instructions.*

NOTHING TO BE WRITTEN BY THE SENDER ABOVE THIS LINE EXCEPT, HIS OFFICIAL INSTRUCTION.

O

ph .....

.....

.....

ADDRESS FREE

From

(Telegraph Office) .....

(Person) .....

Here write whether your telegram is State *or* Private. *Unless classed* State *by sender, it will be sent as* Private, *and no change or refund is afterwards admissible.*

Here write whether your telegram is to be sent URGENT, ORDINARY *or* DEFERRED

TTEN ON THE BACK.—CONTINUE ON ANOTHER FORM.

Sender's Signature and Address or Designation. (Not signalled.)

The *ACCURACY* of telegrams is not guaranteed: the sender must accept *ALL RISKS* arising from non-delivery, errors or delays.

Address any complaint regarding this telegram to the Telegraph Check Office, Calcutta, within two months from the date of the telegram, enclosing this receipt.

For detailed rules see the Telegraph Guide (price 4 annas.)

P—No 648 P O—30 11 93—[illegible]

INDIAN TELEGRAPH.

Monthly No. 93

From

Words. 31/4 | Day 19 | Hour 20 | Minute 55

OFFICIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

From (Person)

(Person)

[illegible handwriting]

AJENDRAPE
20
02

stmaster.

RATES OF CHARGE FOR INLAND TELEGRAMS.

The address (including name of Sender, and name and residence of Addressee) is transmitted free.

	First 8 words.	Each additional word.	
Deferred Message	... 8 Annas	... 1 Anna.	A group of 3, less figures, reckoned as word.
Ordinary „	... 1 Rupee	... 2 Annas.	
Urgent „	... 2 Rupees	... 4 Annas.	

No charge for delivery within a distance of 5 Miles.

RATES OF CHARGE FOR INLAND TELEGRAMS.

The address (including name of Sender and name and residence of Addressee) is transmitted free.

	First 8 words.	Each additional word.	
Deferred Message	... 8 Annas	... 1 Anna.	group of 3, o
Ordinary "	... 1 Rupee	... 2 Annas.	less figures, i
Urgent "	... 2 Rupees	... 4 Annas.	reckoned as one word.

No charge for delivery at

Local No. 93
(of R. P. Telegram.)

Department.

gram.

Sho" me cost of the reply
sum deposited, the
must be paid by the
of the reply Should
reply be less
deposited,
be given for
not availed of;
used,
been

heck

of

a foreign telegram, see Service Regulations printed on the back of this form.

F. N. No. 017

Local No. 43
(of R. P Telegram.)

Government Telegraph Department.

Name and Date Stamp of Booking Office

Pass for a Reply Paid Telegram.

The sum of Rupees (in words) [illegible] Annas has been deposited for a reply to this telegram. The reply may be sent from *any* Telegraph Office at any time within ~~30 days~~ of this date, *provided this authority be attached to the telegram form.* This Pass may al be used for any Inland tele gram sent by any person within the above period, whether it be a reply to the original telegram or not.

PARTICULARS OF THE TELEGRAM

I. Office at which DEPOSIT was made: Chitt

II. Date and Time of Issue: 19.1.2

III. Name of Addressee: [illegible]

Should the cost of the reply exceed the sum deposited, the difference must be paid by the sender of the reply. Should the cost of the reply be less than the amount deposited, no refund will be given for the amount not availed of, but if the Pass be not used, the amount which has been deposited will be refunded upon application to the Check Office, Calcutta, if made within ~~30 days~~ of date of issue. The application must be supported by this Pass without which no refund will be granted.

Name and Date Stamp of Office of Issue.

Form T 18.

Signature of Telegraph Officer in Charge

Note.—In cases where this Pass is for a reply to a foreign telegram see Rule 60 (Regulations printed on the back of this Pass.

FA

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Receipt for an Inland Telegram.

To
(Office)

Class.
(Full)

Number

Receipt of [illegible]
Cost
For charges
Fully Defic- Rs.
[illegible]

Initials of Postmaster, &c.

Above particulars giving full cost to be filled up when the Telegram is paid for, PARTLY or WHOLLY in Postage Stamps or Reply Passes

SPACE FOR UPPER HALVES OF TELEGRAPH STAMP.

N.B When Telegraph Stamps form only PART PAYMENT the entire stamps must be fixed lengthways BELOW the dotted line

Telegraph Stamps are accepted at all Offices Postage Stamps are only accepted at Post Offices and when telegrams are posted to a Telegraph Office for transmission

For INLAND TELEGRAMS *only*

Stamps cut torn or in any way defaced cannot be accepted

SPACE FOR LOWER HALVES OF TELEGRAPH STAMPS OR FOR ENTIRE POSTAGE STAMPS.

N.B—Stamps may be affixed along this line up to the left of this form and continued on the corresponding space on the back if necessary

Code Class.	*No.*	*Words.*	*Day*	*H.*	*M*	*Official Instructions.*

NOTHING TO BE WRITTEN BY THE SENDER ABOVE THIS LINE, EXCEPT, HIS OFFICIAL INSTRUCTION

To
(Telegraph Office)

(Person)

(Address) (in full)

ADDRESS HERE

From
(Telegraph Office)

(Person)

Here write whether your telegram is to be sent State or Private. *Unless classed* State *by sender it will be sent as* Private *and no change or refund is afterwards admissible.*

Here write whether your telegram is to be sent URGENT ORDINARY or DEFERRED

TO BE WRITTEN ON THE BACK.—CONTINUE ON ANOTHER FORM.

Sender's signature and address.

The *ACCURACY* of telegrams is not guaranteed: the sender must accept *ALL RISKS* arising from non-delivery, errors or delays.

Address any complaint regarding this telegram to the Telegraph Check Office Calcutta within two months from the date of the telegram enclosing this receipt

For rates see the Telegraph Guide (price 4 annas

ADDITIONAL SPACE FOR STAMPS.

N. B.—When Telegraph Stamps are affixed here, the upper halves, if they accompany the receipt must be placed above the dotted line

No Stamps to be affixed below unless spaces allotted are insufficient.

27.1.1956

517

ನಂ
843
ದಿ 21/8/06

ಇವರು

ಇವರು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುವ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಓದಿ ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವಂತೆ

ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಲು ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ

By order

ಸಹಿ

ಅಧೀಕ್ಷಕರು

ಕೆ
27/7/06

Petition Branch

Please put up the petns
& to us the enclosure to
No 3588 of 16th January
& from D.C. Kadur

[illegible]
Manager
Inward Depart
2/2/1904

Please enquire in
Genl Records whether
petitions are in
27973 as this is the
on the subject
the docket

Record

Recd Mail
92

1057

Vernacular Records

For Kind Compliance

13/2/04 13/2/04 1047

(1) 5-1-93 1678
(2) 28 2-93 2247/310 27 2-93 19-9-93 807 28-11-93
(3) 17/94 1974 1894-95 2489, 93-94 2749 1123 12-11-94
(4) 2-3

(General Serial No. 32.)

1893.

No. 1678

Name of Petitioner. Syed R Husain

Village. Chikmagalur

Taluk. Do

District. Kadur

Date of Arzi. 5. 1. 93

Date of Receipt. 9. 1. 93

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

Perfr wth
Remarked —
Reported
BR
3/2/93

2/93

ABSTRACT.

From early times, there have been two families that are hakdars to the office of the Amildar of Bababudan giri, one of them being that of the Petitioner and the other that of the present holder of the office. For some time the representatives of the two families were doing duty by turns. In 1839 a dispute having arisen between the two families, an agreement was made to the effect that the family

Mysore Govt. Central Press Bangalore—7-9-92.—1000.

the present Makandar should ~~[illegible]~~ hold the office exclusively and should pay annually Rs 200 out of the income of the Makan to the other family From 1882 the payment has been stopped on the pretext that a certain suit connected with the Inams of the Makan is pending

Petitioner prays that Govt may be pleased to order the Dy Commr to pay the said sum to Petitioner, by deducting it from the revenue of the Inam that is annually paid by Govt to the Makan

St
25/

PETITION
RECD. 1678R
DATE 9-1-93

Ahmedabad

S. 1. 1893

To the Hon'ble Sir Richard Temple Bart
Governor of Bombay in Council

The humble petition of the undersigned
Inhabitants of Ahmedabad

Sheweth

That your petitioners have learnt with
regret that the Municipality of Ahmedabad
is about to levy a tax on houses
and lands within the City and
Cantonment limits of Ahmedabad

That your petitioners are aware that
the Municipality of Ahmedabad
is in debt to the extent of
Rupees 1,83,000 and that
the Municipality has
proposed to levy the
said tax for the purpose
of liquidating the said debt.
That your petitioners
are aware that the
Municipality has
already levied
taxes to the
extent of
Rupees 1,00,000
per annum
which

[illegible]

[illegible] 1853 [illegible]

[illegible] 1882 [illegible]

ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಕಂದಾಯ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ ಕಾರ್ಕಳ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಎ.ಸಿ.ಕಾ/ಭೂ.ಪರಿ/ಸಿ.ಆರ್.ಪಿ/೨೦೨೨-೨೩

ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೨೭.೦೩.೨೦೨೩

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸೂಚನೆ

ಕಾರ್ಕಳ ತಾಲೂಕು ಕಸಬಾ ಹೋಬಳಿ ಕಾರ್ಕಳ ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಯ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. ೧೨೩/೪ಎ ಮತ್ತು ೧೨೩/೪ಬಿ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಕಳ ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ವತಿಯಿಂದ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಶೌಚಾಲಯ ಕಟ್ಟಡ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ ಮಾಡಲು ಉದ್ದೇಶಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಾರ್ಕಳ ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಮುಖ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಶೌಚಾಲಯ ಕಟ್ಟಡ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ ಮಾಡಲು ಯಾವುದೇ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಸೂಚನೆ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾದ ೧೫ ದಿನಗಳೊಳಗಾಗಿ ಲಿಖಿತವಾಗಿ ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬಹುದಾಗಿದೆ. ನಿಗದಿತ ಅವಧಿಯೊಳಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳು ಬಾರದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಸದರಿ ಜಮೀನಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಶೌಚಾಲಯ ಕಟ್ಟಡ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ ಮಾಡಲು ಯಾವುದೇ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಕಾರ್ಕಳ ಉಪವಿಭಾಗ, ಕಾರ್ಕಳ

ಪ್ರತಿ:
೧) ಮುಖ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಕಾರ್ಕಳ ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್, ಕಾರ್ಕಳ
೨) ಕಂದಾಯ ನಿರೀಕ್ಷಕರು, ಕಾರ್ಕಳ ಹೋಬಳಿ
೩) ಗ್ರಾಮ ಆಡಳಿತಾಧಿಕಾರಿ, ಕಾರ್ಕಳ ಪಟ್ಟಣ

1904

No. 1193

Name of Petitioner: Syed Maqadeem Hosain

Village: Khan Kadur

Taluk: Kankanahalli

District: Bangalore

Date of Arzi: 8/2/04

Date of Receipt: 9/2/04

Date of Disposal:

No. of Enclosure: 2

ABSTRACT

Reqts for issue of order to Kadur Despatch Dr Comly to hold enquiry into the case of Makan in Bababuddengiri affrs & obtain all the Remarks & Submitted to Mysore on Dept. abstr short order No 80 dt 22/11/1893 2 Rqts are enclosed.

15/2/04

MGCPB—5,000—10-11-02

Mysore Government
General Department Secretariat
Bangalore
Regd No 1793R
Date 9 2 1904

1793

ನಂಬರ್.

೪೨೩

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಸೂಪರಿಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟರವರ
ಹುಜೂರು ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ [illegible] ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಮಲ್ಲಂಪಾಡಿಯ
[illegible] ಚಂಬುಡೆಂಮಹಾಲಿಂಗೇಶ್ವರ
ದೇವರಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಇನಾಮ್ ಜಮೀನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ
ಮಳೆ ಬೆಳೆಗಳ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ ತಿರ್ಮಾನದ
ಮೇರೆ ಈ ತಿಂಗಳ ೨೭ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ಮಲ್ಲಂಪಾಡಿಗೆ
ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ನಿಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಸಂಗತಿಯ-
ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚಿಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ
ಬಳಿ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕೆಂದು

Murrai Superintendent

Central Press, Bangalore.—8-5-93—1,000.

ನಂಬರ 2247
370

ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾನ್ ಈಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.
11 MAR. 93

ಅರ್ಜೀದಾರ [illegible] ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು [illegible]

ಇವನ [illegible] ಗೆ ಇಂಡಾರಸ್ಟ್ ಹುಕ್ಕುಂ

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿದ [illegible] ನೇ ಮಲ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿ
[illegible]
ಯನ್ನು ~~ಫೈನಲ್ ಬಿದ್ದೆ ಹೆಪ್ಪುಟ್ಟು ಕಮ್ಮಿಷನರವರ~~ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬತಾರೀಖು 11 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ [illegible] ಸ ಇ 1893 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ದರಜಾಗಾ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

for Chief Secretary.

Mysore Government Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-92.—2,000.

10/6/98

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 10-6-98
REG No 1903
MUZRAI BRANCH



೮೬೪

RECEIVED Rupees (*in words*)

being amount of money order payable to (*name of payee*)

No. 729

Commissions Rs. *As-* *M. O Clerk*

ಪೇಮೀ ಇರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಷ್ಟೇಕಾದ ಕೂರ್ಪ.
[ಇಲ್ಲಿ ರಿಮಿಟರ ಷೇಕಾದ ಸಂಗತಿ ಪೇಯಿ
ಗೆ ಬರಿಯಬಹುದು ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಳಾಸವೂ ಬರೆಯು
ವದು ಒಳ್ಳೇದು.]

24-11-902 1159 / ಸಿಎಸ್733-ಥ

1902-03

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 24th November 1902

No. 1159 / Muz. F. 33-01

Recognizing the succession of Syed Mrutyuza Sha Khadri (son of Syed Meeran Sha Khadri) as Sajjade or Manager of Guru Dattathreya Bababudanswami's Darga at Chikmagalur.

SBP—8-12-02—200

Muzrai, dated 24th November 1902.

that Order of Government No. 233-42—Muz F 102-92 of the 14th December 1892, only governs successions to the Hindu Matts and not to Mahomedan Institutions The interpretation of the petitioner cannot under any circumstances hold good The Dargа has extensive inams conferred upon it The income derived from the above, according to the terms of the grant, ought to be applied to the maintenance of the institution and for charitable and religious uses The grant of the inam is subject to the due fulfilment of the above conditions and where failure or mismanagement is apparent, the inams are either resumed or the management taken over into the hands of Government. As an instance, may be quoted the case of the Dargа at Chitaldrug taken over under Government management by Government Order No 960 1—Muz F. 16-95 of the 31st December 1901. It is clear that Government have therefore to guard the interests of the institution, and consequently important changes therein ought to meet with the approval of Government Of course the Proceedings of 1892 does not prevent the presiding members of institutions from electing and nominating one or more competent persons as successors to themselves with the approval of His Highness the Maharaja. Prior to this a representation was made to Government in 1887 to confer on Sajjade elect all honors to which the late Khan Bahadur Gouse Sha Khadri was entitled, but the Government declined to accede to the representation, as it was not considered practicable to extend the honors and prerogatives to Sajjade elect until he actually succeeded to the office (*vide* No. 1366, dated 5th September 1887).

7. Taking all these into consideration and for reasons stated in para 5 *supra*, I am of opinion that the Sajjade elect may be approved of, as successor to the late Khan Bahadur Gouse Sha Khadri, subject to his executing an agreement to the surviving hakdars in the terms of the one of 1854 executed by his predecessor All the objections raised by the other hakdars are founded, I have every reason to think on their desire to participate in the income and profits of the Dargа. As a matter of fact they have given statements before the Amildar that they have no objection to the succession of the Sajjade elect provided their claims to share in the income are recognized and an agreement executed by the successor to the late head of the institution. So practically I am of opinion that the succession of the present Sajjade elect may be approved. All the previous records and proceedings leave no room for doubt that the present Sajjade elect, Mrutyuza Sha Khadri, was thought of as the intended successor to the institution."

No. 1159—Muz. F. 33-01, dated Bangalore, 24th November 1902.

Order thereon.—The Guru Dattathreya Bababudanswami's Dargа on the Bababudan Hills at Chikmagalur is a Muzrai Institution endowed with extensive inam lands from the State. It is reported that Khan Bahadur Syed Gouse Sha Khadri, who was the Sajjade or Manager of the Dargа, died on the 17th September 1901, having previously adopted his brother's grandson Syed Mrutyuza Sha Khadri to succeed him. The nomination of this Syed Mrutyuza Sha Khadri to the management of Guru Dattathreya Bababudanswami's Dargа was sanctioned in Order No. 1366, dated 6th September 1887, read above, by the Government of His Highness the late Maharaja during the life-time of the former Sajjade or Manager, Khan Bahadur Syed Gouse Sha Khadri. He was also elected at a meeting of the community held in 1894, and the Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District, in his letter read above, recommends his nomination upon a certain condition, referred to later on, and states that he is duly qualified for the office. Objections have, however, been raised by certain parties, to the effect that they are entitled to participate in the income from the inam lands endowed to the institution, on the strength of a deed of agreement executed in 1854 by the late Sajjade Khan Bahadur Syed Gouse Sha Khadri agreeing to divide among them the net savings of the institution every year. The Deputy Commissioner states that the nomination may be approved on the condition that the nominee executes a document similar to what was executed in 1854. The Government cannot recognize such an agreement. The inams have been granted for the benefit of the institution and are enjoyed by the manager for the time being for rendering certain specific services therein. The division of the profits among several persons as sharers is not in fulfilment of the object of the Devadaya grant, and Government cannot give their countenance to it.

2. In these circumstances, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased to recognize the succession of Syed Mrutyuza Sha Khadri (son of Syed Meeran Sha Khadri) as Sajjade or Manager of Guru Dattathreya Bababudanswami's Dargа, without the condition suggested by the Deputy Commissioner.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Dy. Secy., Muzrai Department.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, returning records.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Read the following —

(i) The Order No. 1366, dated 6th September 1887, directing that Government [illegible] recognize the succession to the management of Guru Dattathreya Bababudan-[illegible] of Syed Mrutyuza Sha Khadri, whom the late Syed Gows Sha Khadri, Khan Bahadur, proposed to adopt

(ii) Petition Registered No. 320, dated 23rd September 1901, from Syed Ali Hussein Sha Khadri Agent of the Dattathreya Peeta, reporting the death of Sajjade or Manager Syed Gouse Sha Khadri, Khan Bahadur.

and (iii) The following letter No 1450, dated 15th March 1902, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, reporting on the above :—

"With reference to your docket No. 657 of the 15th November 1901, calling for a report on certain points in the matter of a petition from Shah Hussein Sha Khadri, agent of the Dattatherya Peeta Bababudan Darga in the Chikmagalur Taluk, anent the succession of Syed Mrutyuza Sha Khadri, to the place of Khan Bahadur Gowse Sha Khadri (deceased), I have the honor to state as follows —

1 The late Sajjade Khan Bahadur Gouse Sha Khadri died at Bangalore on the 17th September 1901

2 The said Khan Bahadur Gouse Sha Khadri is stated to be entitled to the insignia mentioned in the list presented by the Agent under date 23rd December 1901, (vide paper No. 17 in the accompanying file I of the vernacular papers). He had the title of Khan Bahadur conferred upon him by His Excellency the Viceroy on the occasion of the late Her Majesty's proclamation as Empress of India. He was also personally exempt from the operations of the provisions of the Arms Regulation and from personally appearing before Courts of Law.

3. The Sajjade elect (Syed Mrutyuza Sha Khadri) is stated to be aged about 32 years.

4 With regard to his qualifications to succeed to the vacant place of the late Gouse Sha Khadri, the statements recorded by the Amildar go to show that the Sajjade elect is well versed in Persian and Arabic, has studied Khoran and is in all respects competent to hold the office. The only allegation made against him was that his moral character was not above suspicion. A petition in the names of the holders of lands in the Suraguppe inam village attached to the Darga and headed by one Ajjegauda was received in this office, wherein some allegations were made about the improper conduct of the Sajjade elect with the daughter of a certain Moulvi living in the Suraguppe village. This is however flatly denied by the woman's brother himself, under whose protection she lives. Beyond the statement of the said Ajjegauda there is nothing forthcoming to raise any suspicion about the moral character of the Sajjade elect. Even the takrardars to the hak have made no such imputation.

5 The consent of disciples does not seem to be necessary in effecting a succession For the resolution of the alleged recognition of the Sajjade elect by the late Khan Bahadur Gouse Sha Khadri, only the leading members of different communities, including some Mahomedans in and about Chikmagalur, were summoned, and in their presence a resolution was passed on the 4th November 1894. Neither the men then present, some of whom have been now examined by the Amildar, nor the two Mahomedans of Aldur, who styled themselves as disciples, have any objection to the succession by the Sajjade elect. The agent (petitioner) too has deposed that the consent of disciples is entirely immaterial. Further, the objection brought forward is only by the surviving members of the family to which the late Khan Bahadur Gouse Sha Khadri and the Sajjade elect belong. In their statements they maintain that they all have a joint hak to the place of the Sajjade at the Darga and consequently have a right to equally share profits from the division of the residue arising from the Inam lands and other sources of income to the Darga, after defraying the lawful charitable and religious expenses. These hakdars base their claim on an agreement executed to the surviving hakdars of the family by the late Khan Bahadur Gouse Sha Khadri in 1854. According to this it will appear that the several hakdars have powers to elect a competent person from amongst them upon his executing an agreement in the terms above quoted. The agent (petitioner) asserts that succession is not conditional with respect to executing any agreement, but neither he nor any body else interested in the succession of the Sajjade elect has denied or disproved the contents of the agreement. The statements now recorded by the Amildar go to show that in the election of 1894 the claimants objected. Further, the agreement relied on by the claimants have been admitted in evidence and is observed to have been impounded by the Collector of Stamps (Mr. Lindsay) in 1866.

6. Referring to the last para of the docket under reply, I beg to say that previous sanction of Government for the election has not been obtained. In the notice calling for a meeting in 1894 it is stated that the sanction of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore was obtained, but neither such authority was referred to while then arriving at a decision nor was any produced in the course of the present enquiry. Again the statement of Gauzudin Sha Khadri, also an agent recognized by Government and one treated with the distinction of being exempt from the operations of the provisions of the Arms Regulation, leads to the conclusion that no sanction was obtained, for he states that ceremonies required to be subsequent to Government sanction have not yet taken place. The petitioner

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

Registered No.— 400

Name of Petitioner— శ్రీ గురుదక్షిణామూర్తి రామాంజుడ్డ స్వామి నివాసి

Address— శ్రీరంగపట్టణం బారిద్రవళ్ళు

Date of Petition—

Date of Receipt— 30.8.97

Encl- No 1167 dated

-97

No. of Enclosures—

Abstract

దరఖాస్తుదారులు సాంబదక్షిణామూర్తిరాయరు
కిరస్తానులుదక్కాది మంగళూరు
మిషనరీలుగా వెళ్ళి- మన్రూంమిగతవారు అ
ప్పిపల్లి సోలస్వర మొదలైనవారు
చరువ 1894 నేటివరకు క్రైస్తవ
నిగా ఉండిపోయిన 18025 నేటం 7 నే
రుంట్ల న 165/93 నెంబ్రా శిరిందగు
కుటుంబీకం మ్మె నష్టాలుండా
20,000 రూ- కోరుతూచేశారు

Notification Print only

30/8/97

400 ప్రతి

[illegible]

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಇಂಧನ 2002 ಶೈಲೀ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

[ಚುಕ 2.]

ನಂ

1167 ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹುಜೂರುದಿವಾಣ ಕಚೇರಿ
ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ್ದಾ.

ಚಿಕ್ಕಬಳ್ಳಾಪುರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಚಾಂಚಾಲು ಹೋ
ಬಡಪುಸಬ್ಜಾದಿಮೋಹಲ್ಲ ಪಠಣಾರು ಸೈಯದ್ ಸೈಫು
ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದವರಿಗೆ —

ವಿಷಯ ಮುಜಾರಾದ ಕಾಣಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕುವುದು ಮತ್ತು

ವಿಷಯವು ಕೆಲವು ಬಾಕಿ ವಿವರಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಅಪೇಕ್ಷಿಸಿರುವುದು.

ಬಾಬಾಲು ಹೋದರಗಾಂಕ್ಷೆ ಶೇಖಾದಿ ಕಾಂಕ್ಷಿ ತೋಲ್ಲಿಗಳ
ಲ್ಲಾಯಿಂ ವರುಷಗಳು ಪೈಕ್ಕಿ ಕಿರಿಯಮೊಯಿಯೂ ನಿಲುವರುವದರಿಂದ
ದರಗಾಕ್ಷಿಬರುವಜಿಗಳ್ಳಿಗೆ ಅನ್ನಾದಾಕ್ಷಿಂವದಕ್ಕೆಂಗವುಲ್ಲು
ರಕ್ಷಿಸುವೆಯುಂತಾದ್ದಿಂದ್ಯಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ಗುಂಪಿಡಬಹುಳ್ಳ ಆಸ್ತಾನಪಟೇಲರ
ವಾಸಿರುವಬಹುಬಳು 3 ಪಾವಿರರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳ್ಳನ್ನ ಸರಕಾರದಿಂದ
ಸಾಲವಾಗಿಕೊಂಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಚಿಕಿಂದುವನ್ನೇರೇನವೆಲೂಂದಿಶಿನಿವ್ವು
ಇರದುಕೊಳ್ಳಾಂತ್ರಜುನವರಿಮೊಹಿ 26 ವರುಷಾಂ 24-66-1261
1332
ನೀಲ್ಲಾಂಶರೆ ಅಜಿಸಗೆ —

ವಾ
ಜುಜಾಬು

ಳುಬಿರಾಂಯಿಯಿಲಾಖೆಗೆಸಿಗೆವ್ಯಂದ್ರದಿಪ್ಪತ್ತೈದರಗಾಂ
ಗಳು, ಮತಗಳು, ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳು ಯಿಂತಾದ್ದವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಂ
ಲಾವಮೂಡಿದ್ದರ್ಗವೂಂಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದಲ್ಲಾ - ಮತ್ತು ಅದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ನಿಶ್ಚಯ ವೂಂ
ಡಕೊಂಡದು - ತ್ರಿದ್ವಲಿಂದನಿವ್ವು ಅಪೀಲ್ಲಿಗಿವಂತೆ ಸರಕಾರದಿಂದ
ಸಾಲಾಂಕೊಂಡಿಸಲುಕ್ರಗಲಾರದು -

ತಾರೀಖು 26ನೇ ನವೆಂಬರ್ 1897 B. O
ಚಿಂಗಳ್ಳೂರು Haive Sft.

Asst Mur: Secretary

1897

No. 2466

Name of Petitioner Syed Gouse Shahhad Khan Bahadur.

Village Swamy of Dattatri Baba-budan Hills, Seegur

Taluk Chickmagalur

District Kadur.

Date of Arzi 26-1-97

Date of Receipt 29-1-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner submits that the Rent of the Inam is granted Inam lands Inspectors &c, and from the large influx of people, the Swamy feels it very difficult to feed them and distribute grain among them as is the custom during the Dasara & other occasions, out of the Revenue derived from Inspectors and Inam lands. Prays that Govt may show him some concession and to encourage coffee cultivation out of respect to the Dargha

He finds that he cannot borrow elsewhere. Since

Rent Enc 1
1261

Regn
1332

Transferred to the Mysore Secretary for disposal

29-1-97
9-2-

for Secretary

of any
cess
16/3

Mysore institutions such as this shd contract no debts & therefore the loan applied for cannot be granted by

23.3.97

to Palace
26/3/97

years of Mandhata, the Inamdars
were not adopted with money
transactions owing to the prevalence
of the plague

Owing to these reasons, the
system of distributing grain and
not in charge has to be stopped
just at the time when there will
be an increase in the number
of people (of all sects) who come
here for help

Petitioner prays that the
Court may be pleased to order
the early grant of a loan of Rs 3000
on the security of the Inam and
Sadi lands of the Mutt, and
that 300 Rs be ordered to be
collected from him every year
towards the debt (interest
and loan inclusive) and a
Muchalika may be received
from him to that effect

2466

2466R

29-1-77

ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಮಾಲೀಕರು ಮಂಗಳವಾರ ಯಾಂತ್ರಿಕ ಮೊಸರಿನ ಪ್ಯಾಕೆಟ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು
ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಿದರು

ಬಾಲಕನು ಮಾರುಕಟ್ಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಣ್ಣುಗಳನ್ನು ಖರೀದಿಸಿದನು ಮತ್ತು ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು
ಮನೆಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋದನು ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಅವನು ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ತೊಳೆದು ಒಂದು
ಬಟ್ಟಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇಟ್ಟನು ನಂತರ ಅವನು ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಸಿಪ್ಪೆ ಸುಲಿದು ಚೂರುಗಳಾಗಿ
ಕತ್ತರಿಸಿದನು ಅವನು ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಒಂದು ಬಟ್ಟಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇಟ್ಟನು ಮತ್ತು ಅವುಗಳ
ಮೇಲೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಜೇನುತುಪ್ಪವನ್ನು ಸುರಿದನು ಅವನು ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ
ಬೆರೆಸಿ ಒಂದು ಚಮಚದೊಂದಿಗೆ ತಿಂದನು ಅವನು ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಆನಂದಿಸಿದನು
ಅವನು ಸಂತೋಷವಾಗಿದ್ದನು ಅವನು ಆರೋಗ್ಯಕರ ಉಪಹಾರವನ್ನು ಸೇವಿಸಿದನು
ಅವನು ಶಕ್ತಿಯುತವಾಗಿದ್ದನು ಅವನು ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಲು ಸಿದ್ಧನಾಗಿದ್ದನು

ನಂಬರ್.

33 ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮುಜ
ಹುಜೂರು

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ ಕ್ಕೆ ೪ ಕ

ರು ೪ ಬ್ಬಂ ಬ್ಬಂ ಬು ಡರ್ ಪ್ಪಳ್ಳಿ ಗ್ರಾಮ

ಪ್ಪ ಳ್ಳರ್ ಚ್ಚಂ ಬ್ಬಂ ದ್ದಿಗ್ಗೆ ಂ ದಾರ ೭

ಠಿಕಾಣಿ ಮೊಹಲ್ ೨ ನೇ

ಮ ,, ೪ ೬ ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

೭ ೪ ಅ

೪ ೪ ೪ ಂ ಮ ಡ್ಡಂ ಬ ಡ್ಡ

1—94

Mysore Government Cent

ರಾಯಿ ಸೂಪರ್‌ಇಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟರವರ

ಈಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

[illegible] ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು [illegible]

[illegible]

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ

ನಂಬರು 5775 ನೇ ನಂಬರ [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

al Press, Bangalore.—8-5-93—1,000.

Regd No
870

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

1905—1906.

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq B. A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To The Dy Commissioner

Dated 18 Kadur Dist

Despatched July 1905—

Received

General No. 366

Enclosure No. Muzrai F 33-1901.

with ref to his Docket no 9
dy 16th March 1905 requesting
that the petn of Syed Magdum
Hussein Shahhadri may be
returned for reference, has
the honor to state that the
petn referred to has was already
forwarded to him with this office
No. 2452 dy 16th March 1905
Muzr 33-01

RO
Dft
Ls
15/7/05
Secy

Ans A



Return
ls
7/7/05

1904/05

From M. Changiah Chetty, Esquire,
Off. Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To L. Ananthasami Ayya, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Govt. of
Mysore Mowzuri Dept.

Dated 3d

Despatched 6th July 1905.

Received

General No. 9
No.

Enclosure No. nil

His docket No. 3452
Mun. J. 33-1901

dated 16th March 1905 for-
-warding a Vernacular file
containing certain Sanads
and Documents connected
with the Bababundan Dargah

—"—

Has the honor to request
that the petition of Syed
Magdum Hoseen Shakhadri
sent with this Office letter
No. 481 dated 9th March
1905 may kindly be sent for
reference

M Anjanappa

For

MUZRAI

19 —19

From The Secretary, Muzrai Department

To The Deputy Commissioner

Kadur District

Dated 16th

Despatched March 1905.

Received

General No. 3452

Muzrai F. No. 33-1901

Enclosure one file

His No 481 Dy 10th March 1905

Has the honor to forward herewith the Vernacular file containing certain Sanads and documents &c in Connection with the Bababudan Durga as requested in his Letter No 358 Dy 18th January 1904 and to request that the same may be returned when done with.

as per accompanying Receipt)

The Enclosure is returned.

BO

Dft 15/3/05

Secretary.

14 3 05

MGCPB—2,000—9-6-02

List of Papers in connection with the Babadan
Estate at Chickmagalur

LIST OF PAPERS IN THE FILE.

Serial No	Letter. No.	Letter. Date.	From whom.	To whom.
1	1276	7th Feb 1896	Hussein Sha Khadri	Mussrai Sepdt.
2		18th July 1895	Deposition of do —	Before D°.
3	1624	16th May 1895	Syed Jouse Sha Khadri	mussr: Sepdt.
4	1263	6 5 95	Endt. granted to	
			D°	
5	736	11. 12. 94	Endt. granted to Hussein Sha Khadri	
6	533	10. 1. 94	D° — D°. —	
7	575	2. 12. 93	Petn of Hussein Sha Khadri	(with 2 Enclos.
8	807 R		Petn of Syed Makdum Hussein Sha Khadri	(with 1 Enc
9	Red Sealed		Sanad	
10	Pet 801	22. 11. 1893	Ch. Secretary	mussr: Sepdt.
11	480	7. 9. 93	Petn of Syed Makdum Hussein.	(with 1 Encl
12	691	29. 4. 93	Endt to D°	(with 1 Encl,
13	Pet 1153	9. 3. 93	Ch. Secretary	mussrai Sepdt.
14	832	"	Petn of Syed Mogdum Hussein	
15	Pet 865	29. 11. 94	Chief Secretary (with Enclosure 5)	mussrai Sepdt
16	1123	5. 11. 94	Petn of Syed Sha Khadri	Makdum Hussen Encl 2

M.G.C.P.B.—5-7-94.—1,000.

Serial No.	Letter No.	Date.	From whom.	
17	Petition	7.1.05	Syed Raja Hussein Shah Khadri	II Assistant Collector Kadur and another

Copy "11."

ks

24/3/06

From

The Asst. Commissioner
in charge of Kachin Dt.

3364

To

The Secretary to Government
of Burma.
Ahmerst Department

1/3/05

Dated 4th

Despatched 10th March 1905

Received

General No. 481
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Submitting
petition with reference
to certain Sawmills

Karaikal 9th March 1895

A Vedanthachariar Esqr Dubash
Assistant for the same
in charge of
Karaikal Dist

To
L Avvanthaswamy Rao Esqr BA
Secretary to Government
of Pondicherry
Municipal Department

Sir,

As called for in your docket no 3246 dated 25th ultimo, I have the honor to submit herewith the petition of Syed Abagdum Hussain Shakhadar inviting a reference to certain Sannads and documents produced by him before the Diwan's office in the years 1893 and 1894

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Vedanthachariar

Asst Commissioner
in charge of
Karaikal

MUZRAI

19 —19

FROM The Secy, Muz Department

To The Deputy Comr

Kaira Dist

Dated 25th Feby. 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 3246

Muzrai F. No. 33-1907

Enclosure Nil

With ref: to this Office

Docket No 3290 Dy 19th April

1904 stating that ~~the letter~~ certain

~~referred to in his letter~~

~~No 388 Dy 18th January 1905~~

Summons and documents in connection with the subordinate Dargas which were stated to have been presented by Syed Mahdum Hussain the were are not traceable in this Office, has the honor to State that as some papers have since found. ~~Some records re the Baba Budan Darga here~~

~~the Office~~

Requests that the petn ref'd to in his letter cited above may be forwarded to this Office to

BA.

enable

this Office to know whether
these are the matters required
in the Dy Comm's letter
referred to above.



Dft.

ks
22/2/05

Mwz: F: 33-1901-

To

The Dy Commr

Kadur District

Dated 19th April 1904

No. 3290 Encl. one.

With reference to his letter no 358 dated 18th January 1904 requiring certain documents in connection with the Bahadurdurga in the Chikmagalur Taluk has the honour to state that the papers required by in his letter are not traceable in this Office

Enclosure returned

B—

for 15-6-04

Dfl:

Office Assistant

14/4/04

1903-04

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

1474

To The Deputy Secretary to
the Government of Mysore
Revenue Department.

Dated 16"

Despatched

Received January 04

General No. 358
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Refers
Ks
19/1/04

Her no 566 & 29.8-03
53 01

Forwarding a petition
from Syed Magdum Hussain
Shah Khadri requesting
reference of certain Sanads
and documents produced
by him before the Dewan
Office in 1893 and 1894
requesting to send them
here for reference

Chikmagalur Commissioner's Office
Kadur District
Chikmagalur 16th January 1904

From

M Narain Rao Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To

C. Anantasami Rao Esqre B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore, Muzrai
Dept

Sir,

Adverting to your Docket
no 566/33-01 dated 29th August 1903 forwarding
in report three petitions from Syed
Maqdum Hussain Shakkhadri and
others, claiming shares in the income
of the Inam villages attached to the
Sri Guru Dattatreya Babalendan
Swami Darga in the Chikmagalur
Taluk, I have the honor to forward
herewith a petition from Syed
Maqdum Hussain Shakk-hadri re-
questing reference to certain Sanads
and documents produced b
before the Dewans

1893 and 1894 and to request that, if no objection exists, they may be kindly sent here together with any final orders which may have been passed thereon, in order that they may be shewn to the present Sajjada of the Darga and his Explanation obtained on the claims now put forward by the Petitioners.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant

Sd/- Deputy Commissioner

Kadur District

MUZRAI

1901

From

To

The Dy. Commr
Kadur Dist

Dated 17
Despatched June 1905
Received

General No. 4687 & 4687
Muzrai F. No. 33-1901

Enclosure No.

Referring to the marginal noted communications from the office regarding the affairs of the Bababudan Darga in the Chickmagalur Taluk, has the honor to forward herewith petition regd no. 4536 of 6th June 1905 from Syed Gayasuddin Sha Khadri on the subject and to request that the submission of the recom

4022 of 28 6 1904
2630 of 15-4-1904
611 of 5-8-1904,
2931 of 11-2-1905

MGCPB--11-1-1901—1500

together with a detailed report,

already called for, may be

expedited ~~at an early date~~ minimum - further delay

By order

15/6/65

Secretary

13/6/65

All
Orders
by

45½

To,

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Muzrai Department

Respected Sir,

The humble petition of
Syed Gayasudeen Shakadri
Agent of the Sree Guru Datta.
Treya Baba Buden Durga Chich
Nagalur Taluq respectfully shews,

That the several haddars
and myself protested against the
unconditional appointment by
Government of Syed Murtuza
Shakadri as Sajade of the said
Institution.

That these petitions
were with certain observations
referred to the Deputy Commissr
Kadur district for enquiry & report

That the said enquiry is
conducted by Vice-President &
Commissioner [illegible]

in the matter.

That without the settlement of claims we are not allowed to settle the institution is managed

In the interests of the good management of the Institution I beg that you will be pleased to call for a report if not already received, hear us and favor us with orders at an early date

For which act of kindness I shall ever pray.

I beg to remain

Sir

your most obedient servant

(Illegible)

Government
Secretariat

DUM

S 263 Pml 1264
M 7. 33-01. 3438

29th June 1904

Syed Ephiasuddin Shah Khadri of the Bababudan Hills in the Chikmagalur Taluk praying that he may be allowed to be present at the time of inquiring into the matter connected with the Dargah

Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District in continuation of this office Memo No 4022 dated 28th June 1904.

By Order,
9/7/04
Deputy Secretary
Muzrai Dept.

BANGALORE,
5-7-1904

9/7/04

MGCBP—500 -19-6 02

To

Sir

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
3-33
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

Ls
30/6/02
Rupees

Most Honourable Sir,

With due deference and profound submission I the undersigned Syed Ghiasuddeen Shah Kadri, the adopted Son of Syed Gouse Shah Kodrea Khan Bahadur, beg to offer these few lines to be taken into your most favourable Consideration

Last year I put a claim in your Court that I am the adopted Son of the said Khan Bahadur and that I was the agent for him, when your honour was pleased to order the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur, to enquire into the fact.

As per your order, I was enquired with the documents I submitted; and I therefore beg your honour to be pleased to call for me before your honor, at the time of your enquiring into that fact

I beg to remain,
Most Honourable Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Signature Syed Ghiasuddeen Shah Kadri
Baba Budan Giri.

~~Kadur~~ 1904
25 January,